Low / green - D

1	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF QUEENS: CIVIL TERM: PART 5
2	CANDITA DIEGO and ROBERTO DIEGO, Plaintiffs,
4	-against- Index No. 20252/05 TRIAL
5	LIN ZHU, L.L.C., PANDA STORE FRONT & GATE, NEW YORK HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER of QUEENS, Defendants.
7 8	Supreme Courthouse 88-11 Sutphin Boulevard Jamaica, New York 11435 September 24, 2008
9	
10	B E F O R E: HONORABLE JAMES P. DOLLARD, Supreme Court Justice (and a jury of six plus one alternate.)
12	
13 14	A P P E A R A N C E S: WINGATE, RUSSOTTI & SHAPIRO, LLP Attorney for Plaintiffs 420 Lexington Avenue
15	New York, New York 10170 BY: PHILIP RUSSOTTI, ESQ.
16	
17	GEISLER & GABRIELE, LLP Attorneys for Defendant New York
18	Hospital Medical Center of Queens 100 Quentin Roosevelt Boulevard
19	Garden City, New York 11530 BY: MICHAEL H. HOLOHAN, JR., ESQ.
20	
21	
22	
23	AUDREY KEISER
24	NORA CAMPISI Official Court Reporters
25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	THE COURT CLERK: All rise. Part 5 is now in
2	session. The Honorable James P. Dollard presiding.
3	The jury is not present. The attorneys are present.
4	THE COURT: Good morning. I understand
5	there is an application at this time?
6	MR. RUSSOTTI: Well your Honor, the defendant
7	submitted a memorandum of law yesterday with respect
8	to the issue of precluded two parts of PA Lau's
9	testimony that he has departed from proper emergency
10	practice and that departure was a cause of the
11	deviation of the emergency room. So since Lau is here
12	to testify, I would ask to be heard on that issue. I
13	ask your Honor to make a ruling before Lau gets on the
14	stand.
15	THE COURT: All right. Proceed.
16	MR. RUSSOTTI: First of all, it is clear and
17	basic law and I have the case. I am sure your Honor
18	is familiar with McDermott against Manhattan Eye and
19	Ear, a seminole case, Court of Appeals. It says that
20	a defendant is allowed to be asked questions, expert
21	questions about whether or not the defendant departed
22	from proper medical practice. The Court explained
23	that even though this was a medical doctor, the
24	questions really were not expert but really matters
25	of"fact", as are the diagnosis and examination he made

or the treatment upon which he settled. Even though such questions call for the expertise of an expert opinion, the Courts do no more than conform to the obvious purpose underlying adverse parties rule. That purpose of course is to permit the production of in each case, of all pertinent and relevant evidence that is available to the parties in the action. In the community still quoting there is a surety. The issue of whether the defendant deviated from proper practice customarily adopted by physicians in communities to be sure of the pertinent and relevant malpractice acts. They go on to say nothing fair about the practice of asking a defendant which Lau is. He is the employee and person whose conduct is under--

THE COURT: I don't think there is any dispute what the general rule is with regard to a physician, but it seems to me the argument being made by defendant that PA Lau is not qualified by his training or duties to testify.

MR. RUSSOTTI: There could be nothing more wrong about that, your Honor. First of all, the cases they cite say that you have to lay a foundation for an expert to testify regarding deviation. If he is testifying in an area other than his expertise, those are the cases. They don't quote that in the

memorandum of law. That is the rule. Even if an expert or doctor or a nurse or whatever is going to testify within their area of expertise, no foundation is necessary.

Now, let me just tell you the background here. Under the Education Law of the state of New York a physician's assistant this is Section 6542 of the Education Law: Performance of "medical services". Notwithstanding any other provision of law a physician's assistant may perform medical services when it is under supervision of a physician, and when it is within the scope of practice, the supervising physician. It goes on to Paragraph 3: Supervision shall be continuous but shall not be construed as necessarily requiring the physical presence of a supervising physician at the time and place where such services are performed.

So a physician's assistant can perform medical services on his own. That is the Education Law. The rules and regulations in the state of New York. 10, New York Code Rules and Regulations 94.2. That talks about what a physician's assistant can do. A registered physician's assistant may perform without medical services", but only under the supervision of a physician. They talk about what physician's assistants

can do. He can prescribe medications.

He can write medical orders, prescriptions and medical orders may be written by a physician's assistant as provided in this subdivision. That is 10 New York Code Rules and Regulations, 94.2. 94.2E6 says: A register physician's assistant employed or extended privileges by a hospital as we have here if permissible under the by rules and regulations of the hospital can write medical orders, including things for controlled substances. Countersignature orders may be required if deemed necessary by the hospital but in no event shall countersignatures be required prior to execution.

So a physician's assistant can issue an order and the order be executed before the supervising physician only countersigns the order. That is how much authority is given to a physician's assistant for the practice of without "medical laws". Finally is that physician's assistants and doctors do the same thing the disciplinary rule, Public Health Law Section 230 sets up the state Board of Professional Conduct.

That has a committee on professional conduct, that committee. 230 of the Public Health

Law. That adheres to the charge of professional misconduct against doctors and physician's

assistants. Nurses are handled elsewhere but doctors and physician's assistants are specifically, there is a charge of professional misconduct handled under the committee of professional conduct.

Now that goes to show how a physician's assistant and medicine is related. Now the issue is the physician's assistant in this case acting within his area of expertise. He certainly is. He is acting as an emergency room physician. If you look at--

MR. HOLOHAN: Objection, your Honor. He is not acting as an emergency room physician.

MR. RUSSOTTI: As emergency room physicians assistant. Let's look at his experience. He graduated school in 1990. He obtained a Bachelor's of Science. Then he went on and got a degree as a physician's assistant. He has been working and this is in his deposition by the way and I intend to elicit it when he testifies. He has been working in the emergency room as a physician's assistant specifically since 1990. That would be 14 years before the incident in this case. He worked in four different hospitals. He testified at Page 178 of the deposition that he was trained, part of his training medical diagnosis and orders tests. Now, that was his education and

1	background. He testified here that he ordered the CAT
2	scan. Now, in this case which is the subject of the
3	lawsuit at Page 112. He testified about his knowledge
4	of why he ordered the CAT scan and the physical
5	conditions for which he was trying to prevent. The
6	reason he ordered the CAT scan of the brain is
7	because:
8	QUESTION: Were you concerned about bleeding
9	in her brain?
LO	ANSWER: Yes.
11	QUESTION: Because you had a woman who had
12	fallen down 12 flights of stairs?
13	ANSWER: Yes.
L 4	QUESTION: You know about bleeding in the
15	brain what potentially can happen with that, correct?
16	ANSWER: Yes.
L 7	QUESTION: As an emergency room doctor, you
18	know that bleeding in the brain is a medical
19	emergency, right?
20	ANSWER: Yes.
21	QUESTION: And that bleeding in the brain can
22	cause death of brain tissue, right?
23	ANSWER: Yes.
24	QUESTION: It can cause death of brain tissue
) 5	in a number of cases?

1	ANSWER: Correct.
2	QUESTION: It can cause death of brain tissue
3	of the blood. That is in and of itself, right?
4	ANSWER: Yes.
5	QUESTION: Or it can cause death of
6	circulating edema, correct?
7	ANSWER: Yes.
8	QUESTION: And that is swelling in edema can
9	cause increased pressure of the brain, correct?
10	ANSWER: Yes.
11	QUESTION: And that can cause death of brain
12	tissue, correct?
13	ANSWER: Yes.
14	QUESTION: And this process goes on. It can
15	cause mass effect of the brain, correct?
16	ANSWER: Yes.
17	QUESTION: It can. There are two hemispheres
18	in the brain?
19	ANSWER: Yes.
20	QUESTION: There is a midline?
21	ANSWER: Yes.
22	QUESTION: And that mass effect can cause the
23	shift mass effect can cause midline of shift over to
24	the other side of the brain, right?
25	ANSWER: Yes.

1	QUESTION: That can cause death of brain
2	tissue?
3	ANSWER: Yes.
4	QUESTION: That is called midline shift?
5	ANSWER: Yes.
6	QUESTION: And if that were allowed to
7	continue, that can cause herniation of the part of the
8	brain, correct?
9	ANSWER: Yes.
10	QUESTION: You have heard of unco
11	herniation?
12	ANSWER: Yes.
13	QUESTION: That is a very common type of
14	brain herniation, correct?
15	ANSWER: Yes.
16	QUESTION: That is where part of the brain
17	that is next to the temporal lobe gets pushed into the
18	midbrain, right?
19	ANSWER: Yes.
20	QUESTION: It can cause if that happens that
21	can cause a patient to become unconscious?
22	ANSWER: Yes.
23	QUESTION: It can cause ultimately if allowed
24	to go on the patient could die?
25	ANSWER: Yes.

1	QUESTION: And you are not in a position to
2	evaluate when that might happen in any given patient,
3	correct?
4	ANSWER: Yes.
5	QUESTION: That might happen very quickly,
6	correct?
7	ANSWER: Yes.
8	QUESTION: Or it might take some period of
9	time?
10	ANSWER: Yes.
11	QUESTION: If it took a period of time a
12	patient can deteriorate very quickly, right?
13	ANSWER: Yes.
14	QUESTION: There is no way to predict that.
15	I understand.
16	ANSWER: Yes.
17	QUESTION: So you were rightfully concerned
18	about this bleed, potential for bleeding in the brain,
19	right?
20	ANSWER: Yes.
21	QUESTION: Because of all these things that
22	we have just gone over, right?
23	ANSWER: Yes.
24	QUESTION: Because this was potentially a
25	deadly situation for this woman, right?

1	ANSWER: Right. Yes.
2	QUESTION: Despite the fact that she appeared
3	normal to you?
4	ANSWER: Yes.
5	QUESTION: Right. The fact that she appeared
6	normal did not mean she could not have a process going
7	on in the brain that later in the afternoon could have
8	killed her, correct?
9	ANSWER: Yes.
10	QUESTION: The only way to tell if she had a
11	bleed in the brain was to do a CAT scan?
12	ANSWER: Yes.
13	QUESTION: If there was a bleed in the brain,
14	can either be treated if it was small or be treated
15	medically, right?
16	ANSWER: Yes.
17	QUESTION: Or if it was larger, it could be
18	treated surgically by a neurosurgeon, correct?
19	ANSWER: Yes.
20	QUESTION: And the only way to tell what
21	needed to be done was to get the CAT can?
22	ANSWER: Yes.
23	QUESTION: And the pressure that gets built
24	up in the brain, the way to treat the pressure is to
25	relieve it, right?

1	ANSWER: Yes.
2	QUESTION: That is the only way to deal with
3	this, right?
4	ANSWER: Yes.
5	QUESTION: This intercranial pressure?
6	ANSWER: Yes.
7	QUESTION: And the way to deal with it as
8	soon as possible, right?
9	ANSWER: Yes.
LO	QUESTION: Because that gives the patient the
L1	best outcome to relieve the pressure as soon as
L2	possible, right?
L3	ANSWER: Yes.
L4	QUESTION: And to relieve the pressure as
15	soon as possible you have to get a CAT scan done?
16	ANSWER: Yes.
17	Your Honor will recall this is exactly what the
L8	expert testified to, the neurosurgeon. He has just
19	demonstrated his knowledge. PA Lau, his knowledge of
20	bleeding in the brain, how it works, the potential
21	complications and whether he is there to look out for
22	and why he ordered the CAT scan.
23	So that shows that he knows about the
24	process. Page 117, he continues and explains what he
) 5	found in his examination that was consistent with this

25

1	process developing. I can read it to your Honor or
2	show it to your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Are you objecting to the
4	testimony that has been read?
5	MR. HOLOHAN: Yes, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Let's break it down into
7	pieces.
8	MR. HOLOHAN: All right. Your Honor, PA Lau
9	is just that, a physician's assistant. He is not a
10	physician first of all. His education primary care
11	and medicine, physician's assistant medicine. In this
12	case, the testimony in the case is that when he
13	ordered the CAT scan, he went and talked to Dr. Green
14	thereafter and got the supervisor's
15	MR. RUSSOTTI: No testimony that happened,
16	only the custom and practice. Nobody knows if there
17	was a conversation in this case. No documentation of
18	that in the record and nobody has any recollection.
19	MR. HOLOHAN: It is their custom and practice
20	to go and speak to Dr. Green. Dr. Green would make
21	the determination whether the CAT scan had to be done
22	any quicker or not. The seminole question your Honor,
23	it is not the fact that whether PA Lau can order a CAT
24	scan or not.

The question is: Can he render an opinion

1	how soon it can be done. There is nothing in the
2	testimony. He is not qualified to give that opinion.
3	THE COURT: We have not gotten to that. He
4	hasn't given the opinion in the testimony. He just
5	read. I am trying to break it down into elements.
6	Just as to
7	MR. HOLOHAN: You are right, your Honor. I
8	apologize.
9	THE COURT: Pages 112 to 117.
10	MR. HOLOHAN: I move to strike the
11	testimony. That should be asked by a physician, not a
12	PA.
13	MR. RUSSOTTI: There couldn't be anything
14	further from the truth, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right. That objection is
16	overruled. You have an exception.
17	MR. RUSSOTTI: Next issue. Page 130, PA Lau,
18	Line 23.
19	QUESTION: Whose responsibility was it to
20	make sure that this CAT scan got done right away, you
21	or Dr. Green?
22	ANSWER: Me and Dr. Green both.
23	QUESTION: Both of you?
24	ANSWER: But me primarily. I saw the patient
) 5	and wrote the chart Me

1	QUESTION: Well, he was a supervisor, wasn't
2	he?
3	ANSWER: Yes.
4	QUESTION: So I am just trying to understand
5	the protocol. Whose responsibility was it, was it
6	yours or was it his to make sure this got done STAT?
7	ANSWER: It is my responsibility and he
8	supervised me.
9	QUESTION: So it is his responsibility too?
10	ANSWER: Some, yes but I primary. It is my
11	patient. I saw the patient.
12	QUESTION: So you were telling us then that
13	primarily it was your responsibility to make sure this
14	got done STAT?
15	ANSWER: Yes.
16	QUESTION: Done within 15 minutes, right?
17	ANSWER: Yes.
18	So he is there working in the emergency
19	department which they have hired him to do. He has
20	been there for 12 years at that point. He told us
21	under oath that it was his primary responsibility to
22	get this thing done STAT. It can't be any clearer. I
23	am entitled to elicit testimony from him. That
24	thereafter I asked him at Page 133, Line 21.
25	QUESTION: You know what the standard of care

1	in an emergency room is right, emergency room
2	practice?
3	ANSWER: Yes.
4	That came after these questions, your Honor. I
5	started this line of questioning at Page 132, Line
6	23.
7	QUESTION: PA Lau, wasn't it a departure from
8	proper emergency room practice and I specifically used
9	the term emergency room practice, not medical practice
10	because that is what we are dealing with in this case
11	emergency room practice as it is conducted by doctors
12	and physician's assistants which is what he was paid
13	to do, trained to do?
14	THE COURT: Reading from the transcript or
15	are you extrapolating?
16	MR. RUSSOTTI: All right. Page 132, Line 23.
17	QUESTION: PA Lau, wasn't it a departure from
18	proper emergency room practice not to get the CAT scan
19	done STAT?
20	ANSWER: From my examination she was
21	neurologically stable. From my examination the pupils
22	were equal, reactive. She was conversing with me and
23	moving her extremities. From my examination she was
24	neurologically stable.
25	QUESTION: I understand. You told us earlier

1	that you couldn't rule out she could have had a bleed
2	in the brain, that could have killed her later that
3	afternoon, right?
4	ANSWER: Right.
5	QUESTION: You knew that?
6	ANSWER: Yes.
7	QUESTION: So that was the most serious thing
8	that this woman potentially had, right?
9	ANSWER: Right.
10	QUESTION: Wasn't it a departure from proper
11	emergency room practice not to get the CAT scan done
12	within 15 minutes of this woman?
13	ANSWER: You have to rephrase your question.
14	QUESTION: Let me rephrase it this way. You
15	know what the standard of care in the emergency room
16	is, right, emergency room practice?
17	ANSWER: Yes.
18	QUESTION: Wasn't this a deviation from the
19	standard of care in a patient with a head trauma
20	patient with a potential bleed in the brain can kill
21	her not to get this done STAT. Wasn't that a deviation
22	from the standard of care?
23	ANSWER: She was getting a CAT scan done.
24	QUESTION: Wasn't it a deviation not to get
25	it done sat within 15, 20 minutes?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	ANSWER: Yes.
2	QUESTION: It was, right?
3	ANSWER: Yes.
4	Now, it can't be any clearer that under the law
5	he is practicing within his specialty. He is
6	authorized under the law to practice " medicine under
7	the education law". He wrote the order. He told us
8	it was his responsibility to make sure that the order
9	got done. He testified that he was familiar with the
10	standard of care for emergency room practice. He had
11	been practicing in the hospital for 12 years. There
12	is no question that I can ask him under McDermott or
13	any of the other cases: Did he depart from a standard
14	of care? If he says yes, they are stuck with that

THE COURT: Mr. Holohan on this?

answer. I have a right to elicit that.

MR. HOLOHAN: Again it is our position that PA's that physician's assistants, he works under the supervision of a physician. That he does not establish the standard of care in the emergency room. That is done by the primary attending physician in the facility at that particular time. His expertise not at the level where he can give this jury what time that CAT scan should have been done. I have no objection that he could have ordered one. How quickly

	Proceeding
1	it would have been done. The expert said half an
2	hour. He said 15 minutes. Not the same standard of
3	care. He is mistaken in the testimony. He should not
4	be able to testify because he is not at that level of
5	expertise. He is not a physician, emergency room
6	physician board certified to come in here and give you
7	the standards of care. I move that this issue be
8	stricken.
9	THE COURT: He testified as to his own
10	standard of care, standard of care of a PA?
11	MR. HOLOHAN: That is not what he was asked.
12	He was asked in this case the standard of care in the
13	emergency room. That is not what his standard of care
14	is.

MR. RUSSOTTI: That is ridiculous. He was asked about emergency room practice, what he was doing. He testified it was his responsibility. He knew what the standard of care was.

MR. HOLOHAN: There is no foundation offered in this case as to this PA being qualified to testify to give this opinion. There is no foundation whatsoever. He didn't go through his education with him.

MR. RUSSOTTI: He worked there for 12 years.

MR. HOLOHAN: That is a different situation.

He worked under the supervision of a physician. He is not there to establish a standard of care in the ER.

He can't testify to the standard of care in the ER with regard to timing of the CAT scan.

THE COURT: What about his only personal standard of care here than the general standard of care? Then he is--

MR. HOLOHAN: Only if it is established that that is what it is. We never established that. We have no foundation in this case of this PA ever taking standard of care of practice. He never did this.

MR. RUSSOTTI: This is absurd, your Honor.

He worked there in this emergency room for 12 years.

He is licensed by the state of New York to order

tests, prescription medications. They employed him to

practice emergency room medicine. For them to stand

up and say that he is not qualified as to what goes on

in the emergency room, the standard of care is clearly

not necessary. Where he is saying that we can't say

that he is committing malpractice. That is what he is

saying. That we can't show that the PA committed

malpractice which we can which was done here and we

can have the PA admit to malpractice under his own

mouth, by his own mouth. The fact that he did is just

they are trying it avoid it. We have the right to do

1	it.
2	MR. HOLOHAN: There is no foundation for this
3	individual being qualified to testify as an expert in
4	this case. It is not done in this case, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: I am not going to strike that
6	testimony. The only part I would consider striking is
7	his testimony as a responsibility of Dr. Green. I
8	think he is qualified to talk about his own
9	responsibility, not Dr. Green.
10	MR. HOLOHAN: But your Honor, that is an
11	interesting issue. What he is saying is that he does
12	not work independently. It is, he and Dr. Green
13	decides when to do the CAT scan. It is he and Dr.
14	Green decides when, what time to do the CAT scan. He
15	is not working independently. You can't get them
16	apart on this issue. The ER doctor sets the standard
17	of care. He does not.
18	MR. RUSSOTTI: Maybe he is talking about a
19	different case, your Honor. I just read so it is his
20	responsibility to, referring to Dr. Green, Page 131.
21	ANSWER: Some yes, but I primary.
22	He said he had the primary responsibility to
23	determine when the CAT scan should be done.
24	MR. HOLOHAN: It goes back and forth.
25	MR. RUSSOTTI: No. Listen to the testimony

- of your witness. Some yes, but quote I primary. It 1 is my patient. I saw the patient. 2 QUESTION: So you are telling us that 3 primarily it was your responsibility to make sure this 4 got done STAT? 5 ANSWER: Yes. 6 I don't know what could be any clearer. 7 THE COURT: I am not going to strike the 8 9 testimony. I will if you wish I will tell the jury to disregard the statement by PA Lau as to Dr. Green. 10 MR. HOLOHAN: Thank you, your Honor. I take 11 12 exception. THE COURT: Now the second part had to do 13 with causation. Tell me how he is qualified to give 14 15 causation. MR. RUSSOTTI: I have read his knowledge of 16 bleeding in the brain and what bleeding in the brain 17 can do, how it can cause damage. 18 THE COURT: He testified as to his 19
- 20 knowledge.
- MR. RUSSOTTI: He testified as to his 21
- knowledge. That is the basis. 22
- THE COURT: Now make it specific to this 23
- 24 case.
- MR. RUSSOTTI: I will. Well, he testified 25

. 1	about everything that he testified to was corroborated
2	by the expert neurosurgeon who explained that that was
3	the physiology of how bleeding can affect the brain.
4	The neurosurgeon and emergency room medicine expert.
5	You know my point is
6	THE COURT: You are specific as to this case,
7	not as to what generally happens.
8	MR. RUSSOTTI: Well, specifically as to this
9	case the neurosurgeon testified
10	THE COURT: The neurosurgeon was called to
11	offer an opinion. I will let that stand. You are
12	talking about whether PA Lau is qualified to offer an
13	opinion.
14	MR. RUSSOTTI: I know, your Honor. I am
15	showing you that his fund of knowledge is sound. That
16	he knows what he is talking about in this context.
17	Then I asked him about the particulars of the
18	examination.
19	Page 150, Line 18.
20	QUESTION: The chart notes she went into a
21	coma and had to be intubated at about 4:10 that
22	afternoon?
23	ANSWER: I wrote the patient to be
24	intubated.
25	QUESTION: You wrote that?

1	ANSWER: Yes.
2	So obviously it was there when this event
3	happened.
4	QUESTION: That was at 4:10?
5	ANSWER: I didn't write a time.
6	QUESTION: Well, the nurse wrote the time
7	right, 1615 patient intubated?
8	ANSWER: Yes.
9	QUESTION: So that is 4:15 in the afternoon?
10	ANSWER: Yes.
11	QUESTION: She was in a coma, right?
12	ANSWER: Yes.
13	THE COURT: This is all information.
14	MR. RUSSOTTI: You asked me specifically in
15	this case. We know he knows what a coma is.
16	QUESTION: That coma, referring to this
17	examination, this is what can happen if the pressure
18	is allowed to build up in the brain, right?
19	ANSWER: Yes.
20	QUESTION: This is exactly what you were
21	trying to prevent, right?
22	ANSWER: Yes.
23	QUESTION: Later on were you aware that later
24	on she was rushed to the operating room for
25	neurosurgery?

1	ANSWER: Yes.
2	QUESTION: She deteriorated further at that
3	time?
4	ANSWER: Not from my recollection.
5	QUESTION: Not from your recollection. Dr.
6	Levine, I am reading from Dr. Levine's note: Glasgow
7	coma scale 3 to 4 with a pupil of 8 millimeters on the
8	left, right pupil 5 millimeters.
9	ANSWER: Yes.
10	QUESTION: Pupils are asymmetric?
11	ANSWER: Yes.
12	Talking about this case.
13	QUESTION: That is an indication of
14	herniation in the brain?
15	ANSWER: Yes.
16	We have heard it from other witnesses. We know
17	it is right.
18	QUESTION: This is a herniation that was
19	caused from the increase in the pressure in the brain,
20	right?
21	ANSWER: Yes.
22	Same thing with what the neurosurgeon said.
23	QUESTION: This is a herniation you were
24	trying to avoid?
) E	ANGWED. VAG

1	QUESTION: That is why she had to be rushed
2	to the operating room, right?
3	ANSWER: Yes.
4	MR. RUSSOTTI: Your Honor, this is exactly
5	what the neurosurgeon explained.
6	QUESTION: At that point a Glasgow Coma Scale
7	of 3 to 4 that is near death, right?
8	ANSWER: Yes.
9	QUESTION: So what you are concerned about
10	deterioration and possibly from a bleed going from a
11	Glasgow Coma Scale of 15 to having a bleed that can
12	kill you. That is what happened to this woman?
13	ANSWER: Yes. Exactly.
14	THE COURT: I will hear you on this.
15	MR. HOLOHAN: Your Honor, this is so far
16	fetched. PA testified as to causation of neurological
17	injury. His expert didn't testify to this. He
18	brought in a neurosurgeon to testify to this. This is
19	so far fetched.
20	THE COURT: I am going to strike the
21	testimony of causation.
22	MR. RUSSOTTI: Your Honor, he explained that
23	he knows how this works. He explains that he knows the
24	process.
25	THE COURT: He may know the training as to

- what can happen at different times. I don't find that
 he is qualified to say that is what happened in this
 case.
- 4 MR. RUSSOTTI: What about he has been in the 5 emergency room for 12 years?
- THE COURT: Or offer an opinion or knowledge
 either way. I don't think he is qualified to say. I
 think that is up to the neurosurgeon.
- 9 MR. RUSSOTTI: What about, that since 10 everything he said is exactly what the neurosurgeon 11 said. We know that he is right.
- THE COURT: He may be right but he is not qualified.

14 MR. RUSSOTTI: How can he not be qualified? 15 He testified earlier he was trying to prevent it. He 16 knew this could happen. He knew the potential 17 complications in the earlier testimony. If he said: 18 Gee, I don't know what happened to the bleed or 19 increased pressure. He said he knows from his years 20 of experience in training. Now we have in this case 21 with this patient and what he said can happen happened. How could you say he is not qualified? He 22 has explained his knowledge. We show him what 23 happened in this case and he says: Yes. 24

THE COURT: I am going to strike that. You

- 1 have an exception.
- MR. HOLOHAN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT CLERK: Come to order.
- THE COURT: All right. Let's bring down the
- 5 jury.
- 6 THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury
- 7 entering.
- 8 THE COURT CLERK: Case on trial continues.
- The attorneys are present. The jury is present. You
- may be seated.
- THE COURT: Good morning. Mr. Holohan, do
- 12 you have a witness?
- MR. HOLOHAN: The defendant calls David Lau.
- 14 THE COURT CLERK: Step up, please.
- 15 D A V I D L A U, P A, having been duly sworn,
- 16 was examined and testified as follows:
- 17 THE COURT CLERK: State your full name.
- 18 THE WITNESS: David Lau.
- 19 THE COURT CLERK: Give us your address.
- THE WITNESS: 86-09 Wexford Terrace, Queens,
- 21 New York, 11432.
- THE COURT CLERK: You may be seated.
- THE COURT: You may inquire.
- 24 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MR. HOLOHAN:

David Lau - Defendant - Direct - Mr. Holohan

- 1 Q. Good morning, Mr. Lau. Please keep your voice up
- 2 and talk to the jury so they can hear what you have to
- 3 say.
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. In this case you ordered the CAT scan, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. After you ordered the CA scan, what did you do
- 8 next with regard to a conversation with Dr. Green? What is
- 9 your custom and practice?
- 10 A. I discussed the case with Dr. Green.
- 11 Q. Why would you do that?
- 12 A. He is my supervising doctor.
- 13 O. In a decision to have a CAT scan done within 30
- 14 minutes ultimately who makes the decision?
- 15 A. Dr. Green.
- Q. Why is that?
- 17 A. He is my supervising doctor.
- 18 Q. Mr. Lau on Monday you testified "Wasn't it a
- deviation not to get it done STAT within 15, 20 minutes.
- 20 Do you remember answering that question?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Have you ever been to Court before?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Have you ever been cross-examined before?
- 25 A. No.

David Lau - Defendant - Direct - Mr. Holohan

- 1 Q. Could you tell the jury why you gave that
- 2 answer?
- 3 A. I was confused. STAT could mean any there are
- 4 more than 30 minutes.
- 5 Q. Are there certain types of brain trauma
- 6 presentations that require CAT scans within 30 minutes?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. What type are they?
- 9 A. Patients have dilated pupils, unequal pupils.
- 10 People cannot move their extremities, or patients have
- 11 incontinence.
- 12 Q. Did Mrs. Diego have any of those signs or
- 13 symptoms when you saw her at 1:30?
- 14 A. No.
- MR. HOLOHAN: Nothing further, your Honor.
- MR. RUSSOTTI: Can I have a moment, your
- 17 Honor? I am just looking for one thing, your Honor.
- 18 Please bear with me.
- 19 THE COURT: Yes.
- 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. RUSSOTTI:
- Q. PA Lau, just with respect to your last testimony
- about the word STAT.
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. You testified last week. When you testified last

- week and I asked you about the meaning of STAT you weren't
- 2 confused about that, were you?
- 3 A. I was confused.
- Q. You were confused by that. Well, let me read
- 5 your testimony and ask you to tell us where you were
- 6 confused. Now before I do that, you have been an emergency
- 7 room PA since 1990, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You graduated school I think that year?
- MR. HOLOHAN: Your Honor, objection. We have
- 11 done this before.
- 12 THE COURT: It is a preclude to a question.
- Go on. Overruled.
- 14 Q. You worked in emergency rooms from 1990 up to
- 15 2004, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You worked at four different hospitals before--
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Before 2004, right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. You have seen thousands of head trauma patients
- 22 before 2004?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Probably more than thousands of patients, right?
- 25 A. Possibly.

- 1 O. And there are a lot of different situations in
- 2 emergency rooms where the term STAT is used, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Lau, could you look at me and not look at
- 5 your counsel, please.
- 6 A. All right.
- 7 Q. Please so you have a lot of experience before
- 8 2004 and before you testified here about the meaning of the
- 9 word STAT, didn't you?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. STAT is a common word. In the emergency room
- things need to be done quickly, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. So STAT is a common word in the emergency room,
- 15 right?
- 16 A. Yes. Right.
- 17 Q. Now tell me what you were confused about, Page
- 18 127, Line 7.
- 19 QUESTION: Now in this case this CAT scan
- needed to be done STAT, correct?
- 21 ANSWER: Yes.
- 22 So you understood that right, Mr. Lau?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. QUESTION: And STAT, excuse me. STAT is a specific
- 25 medical term, right?

- 1 ANSWER: Yes.
- 2 You understood that question PA Lau?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. All right.
- 5 QUESTION: Okay. STAT means to do something
- 6 right then, right?
- 7 ANSWER: Yes.
- 8 You understood that question, PA Lau?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. QUESTION: In a hospital when orders are given or
- they are done in a hospital some can wait longer than
- 12 others, right?
- 13 ANSWER: Yes.
- 14 QUESTION: But when a doctor or PA like
- 15 yourself gives an order for something to be done STAT,
- that means drop everything, do it right now, right?
- 17 ANSWER: Yes.
- You understood that question, Mr. Lau?
- 19 A. I was confused about the question.
- 20 Q. That question you were confused about?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You didn't say you were confused, did you?
- MR. HOLOHAN: Objection.
- THE COURT: Overruled.
- Q. Did you PA Lau?

- 1 A. No, I did not.
- Q. When a doctor or PA like yourself gives an order
- 3 for something to be done STAT, that means drop everything
- 4 do it right now, right?
- 5 ANSWER: Yes.
- 6 What was confusing about that question to you
- 7 with your 14 years of experience, PA Lau?
- 8 A. STAT the word can mean more than 30 minutes. I
- 9 was confused because the question was phrased in a way that
- 10 you know STAT means right away.
- 11 Q. In your experience STAT does mean right away,
- 12 right?
- 13 A. STAT can mean up to more than 30 minutes.
- 14 O. You didn't say that last week?
- 15 A. Yes, I did not.
- Q. QUESTION: That is the, that is test response time
- 17 you can get in a hospital, right?
- 18 ANSWER: Yes.
- 19 That was correct Mr. Lau?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. So this CAT scan because of the potential for
- 22 bleed in the brain being high risk for bleeding needed to
- be done right away, right?
- 24 ANSWER: Yes.
- Were you confused about that question too?

- 1 A. No.
- Q. So you were correct about that question?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Now you said your custom and practice was to
- 5 discuss this with Dr. Green. You don't have any
- 6 recollection of discussing the case with Dr. Green, do you?
- 7 A. No, I do not.
- 8 Q. All right. There are times when you may not
- 9 discuss something with Dr. Green right away, right?
- 10 A. Possible.
- 11 O. You don't know if that was one of the times in
- 12 this case, right?
- 13 A. Possible.
- Q. Now you said today it was Dr. Green's
- responsibility to get this CAT scan done, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Let me ask you if you remember being asked these
- 18 questions and giving these answers last week, PA Lau.
- MR. HOLOHAN: Your Honor, can you ask the
- attorney to go behind the podium, please?
- MR. RUSSOTTI: I think I can stand right
- here, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Please move back counsel. Don't
- approach the witness.
- MR. RUSSOTTI: All right, your Honor.

- 1 Q. Page 130, Line 25.
- 2 QUESTION: Whose responsibility was it to
- make sure that this CAT scan got done STAT, right away
- 4 you or Dr. Green?
- 5 ANSWER: Me and Dr. Green both.
- 6 QUESTION: Both of you?
- 7 And did you understand that question, PA Lau?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. I asked both of you?
- 10 ANSWER: But me primarily. I saw the patient
- and wrote the chart. Me. Now you volunteered that
- 12 answer, didn't you?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. You said you volunteered. You said that you were
- primarily responsible, didn't you?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 O. I didn't put that word in your mouth, did I?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Now you are changing that or do you want to stick
- 20 with that testimony that you were primarily responsible for
- 21 getting a CAT scan done?
- A. A CAT scan is ordered by the physician in charge,
- 23 Dr. Green. I ordered the CAT scan.
- Q. PA Lau, I am asking you a question about your
- testimony last week. Today you are telling us it was Dr.

- 1 Green's responsibility. I am reading your testimony of
- 2 last week.
- 3 A. But on the first question---
- 4 Q. Listen to the question.
- 5 QUESTION: Whose responsibility was it to
- 6 make sure that this CAT scan, this case, this CAT scan
- got done STAT, right away you or Dr. Green?
- 8 ANSWER: Me and Dr. Green both.
- 9 QUESTION: Both of you?
- 10 ANSWER: But me primarily.
- MR. HOLOHAN: Objection. Asked and
- 12 answered.
- 13 Q. ANSWER: I saw the patient and wrote in the
- 14 chart.
- 15 THE COURT: He answered the question.
- MR. RUSSOTTI: He answered the question?
- 17 O. Didn't you say last week that you were primarily
- 18 the one that was responsible for making sure it got done
- 19 STAT?
- MR. HOLOHAN: Objection, your Honor. He
- asked that five minutes ago.
- THE COURT: Overruled.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And then I even pressed you on it.
- QUESTION: Well, he was the supervisor,

- 1 wasn't he?
- 2 ANSWER: Yes.
- 3 QUESTION: So I am just trying to understand
- 4 the protocol. Whose responsibility was it, yours, was
- it his to make sure this got done STAT?
- 6 ANSWER: It was my responsibility. He
- 7 supervised me.
- 8 That was the correct answer, wasn't it?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. QUESTION: So it is his responsibility too?
- 11 ANSWER: Some.
- 12 That is you are answer. Yes, but I primarily.
- 13 It is my patient. I saw the patient.
- 14 QUESTION: So you are telling us then that
- primarily it was your responsibility to make sure this
- 16 got done STAT?
- 17 ANSWER: Yes.
- 18 QUESTION: Done within 15 minutes, right?
- 19 ANSWER: Yes.
- These questions and answers were correct when you
- 21 gave them, weren't they?
- 22 A. Yes. STAT was confusing, about the word STAT.
- Q. Well this morning you said it wasn't your
- 24 responsibility. It was Dr. Green's you said?
- A. If the patient needs to get the CAT scan right

- 1 away, Dr. Green would have to bring the patient to or Dr.
- 2 Green would have to call the radiology technician or the
- 3 radiology attending to help the patient go right away.
- Q. Last week you told us you could do all that too,
- 5 right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Last week you told us that was your primary
- 8 responsibility to make sure that the CAT scan got done,
- 9 right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. So you accept the responsibility for that last
- 12 week, right?
- 13 A. Right.
- O. Did something change between last week and this
- 15 week, PA Lau?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Now you last said in response to your attorneys
- 18 questioning that you were confused. I think you said
- 19 that. You answered the question about whether or not it
- 20 was a deviation from standard of care not to get this CAT
- 21 scan done within 20 minutes, right? I think that is what
- you told your attorney?
- A. Greater than 30 minutes.
- Q. You were confused about that question and answer?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 O. That you have never been in Court before, you
- 2 have never been crossed. Well that is a good thing PA
- 3 Lau.
- MR. HOLOHAN: Objection, your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Sustained.
- O. Now, you have had a lot of experience. You told
- 7 us that you have seen thousands of minor head trauma
- 8 patients in four hospitals that you worked in 14 years
- 9 prior to this, right?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. So you know what the standard of care is in
- dealing with minor head trauma patients, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. I am talking about emergency room standard of
- care. You know that as a PA what the standard of care is
- 16 from your experience in 14 years dealing with the thousands
- of minor head trauma patients. You know the standard of
- 18 care?
- 19 A. Yes, but I am not an expert.
- 20 O. You know the standard of care of what a PA is
- 21 supposed to do, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You have seen what doctors do in hospitals?
- A. Right.
- Q. With respect to minor head trauma patients?

- 1 A. Right.
- Q. You know minor head trauma patients what is
- 3 supposed to be done right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. That what is what you get paid to do?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. That is what New York Hospital is paying you to
- 8 do, right?
- 9 A. Yes. Right.
- 10 Q. Now since you know what the standard of care is,
- then you know when there is a deviation from the standard
- of care, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Now I am going to read from your testimony of
- 15 last week. Page 132. You tell me what you were confused
- 16 about, Line 23. PA Lau, last week PA Lau my voice is
- 17 loud. I was talking very softly to you, wasn't I?
- MR. HOLOHAN: Objection, your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 20 Q. Page 132, Line 23.
- 21 QUESTION: PA Lau, wasn't it a departure from
- proper emergency room practice not to get this CAT
- scan done STAT?
- 24 ANSWER: From my examination she was
- 25 neurologically stable. From my examination the pupils

	David Lau - Defendant - Cross - Mr. Russotti
1	were equal reactive. She was conversing with me. She
2	was moving her extremities. I mean from my
3	examination she was neurologically stable.
4	So you understood my question, right?
5	A. Yes.
6	(Whereupon, the following was transcribed by
7	Official Court Reporter Nora Campisi.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Lau - Defense - Cross

1	Q The next question: "I understand. You told us
2	earlier that you couldn't rule out she could have
3	a bleed in the brain that could have killed her
4	later that afternoon, right?
5	"Answer: Right."
6	You understood that question, didn't you?
7	A Yes.
8	Q "Question: You knew that?
9	"Answer: Yes.
10	"Question: So that was the most serious thing
11	that this woman potentially had, right?
12	"Answer: Right."
13	You understood that question, didn't you?
14	A Yes.
15	Q "Question: Wasn't it a departure from proper
16	emergency room practice not to get the CAT scan done
17	within 15 minutes in this woman?
18	"Answer: You have to rephrase your question."
19	So you asked me to rephrase that, right?
20	A Okay.
21	Q Okay, and then I said: "Question: Let me
22	rephrase it this way, you know what the standard of
23	care in the emergency room is right, emergency room
24	practice?
25	You said "yes," right?

Lau - Defense - Cross

1 Α Yes. Just like you said yes a couple of minutes ago, 2 3 right? Α 4 Right. "Question: Wasn't this a deviation from the 5 Q standard of care in a patient that, a head trauma 6 patient with a potential bleed in her brain that could 7 kill her, not to get this done stat, wasn't that a 8 deviation from the standard of care? 9 "Answer: She was getting a CAT scan done." 10 You understood that question, didn't you? 11 Yes. 12 Α And then I said: "Question: Wasn't it a 13 deviation not to get it done stat, within 15 or 14 20 minutes? 15 "Answer: Yes." 16 You understood that question, didn't you? 17 Yes, possible, yes. 18 Α "It was, right? 19 Q Answer: Yes." 20 Two times you said to yes to that question, 21 right, P.A. Lau? 22 23 Α Yes. P.A. Lau, you are an honorable man, aren't you? 24 Q MR. HOLOHAN: Objection, your Honor. 25

Lau - Defense - Redirect

- 1 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 2 Q You took an oath to tell the truth, didn't you,
- 3 P.A. Lau?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q You believe in that oath to tell the truth?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q When you testified here last week you told us the
- 8 truth, didn't you?
- 9 A Yes.
- MR. RUSSOTTI: I have no further questions,
- 11 thank you.
- 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. HOLOHAN:
- 14 O In Mrs. Diego, in this case, is -- withdrawn.
- All of the head trauma cases you have seen, minor
- 16 head trauma cases you have seen in your years of
- 17 experience, Mrs. Diego fits in that experience, correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q With her presentation to you, did this CAT scan
- 20 have to be done within 30 minutes?
- 21 MR. RUSSOTTI: Objection to the form.
- 22 A No.
- THE COURT: Overruled.
- Q And why not?
- 25 A She was neurologically stable. We examined the

Lau - Defense - Recross

- 1 patient, what we call SOAP, subjective, what the patient
- 2 tell us, objective, how we examine the patient, physical
- 3 exam, vital signs, and assessment and plan. Assessment is
- 4 what we think the patient had, and plan is what we are
- 5 going to do.
- 6 MR. RUSSOTTI: I move to strike this as
- beyond the answer to the question.
- 8 THE COURT: No, motion denied.
- 9 A I examined the patient, patient comes in, her
- 10 vital signs were stable, she was conversing with me, from
- 11 reading the chart that she spoke Spanish, and probably I
- 12 will say translator available, I don't have the chart in
- front of me, there was a translator in front of me to
- 14 translate. She was moving her extremities, pupil was
- 15 equal from examination, I mean she was neurologically
- stable, she does not require a CAT scan in 30 minutes.
- MR. HOLOHAN: Nothing further, thank you.
- 18 RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. RUSSOTTI:
- 20 Q How about an hour, within an hour?
- MR. HOLOHAN: Objection, your Honor, it is
- beyond the scope of the examination.
- MR. RUSSOTTI: You just --
- THE COURT: No, overruled.
- O How about within an hour, is she required within

Lau - Defense - Recross

- 1 an hour?
 2 A
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q No; that was a deviation from proper emergency
- 6 room practice, correct, not to get it done within an hour?
- 7 MR. HOLOHAN: Objection.
- 8 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 9 Q It was, wasn't it?

Sure.

- 10 A Possible.
- 11 Q You could have told this jury last week what you
- 12 just said now in response to your attorney's questions,
- 13 couldn't you?
- MR. HOLOHAN: Objection, your Honor, beyond
- 15 the scope of direct.
- 16 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 17 Q Did you have -- was there any pressure exerted on
- 18 you to change your testimony, P.A. Lau?
- 19 A Absolutely not.
- MR. RUSSOTTI: All right, I have no further
- 21 questions.
- THE COURT: You may step down, thank you.
- (Whereupon, the witness stepped down)
- THE COURT: You have your next witness?
- MR. HOLOHAN: At 3:00 o'clock.